

Lecture 3: Approximation by Quasi-interpolants

Tom Lyche

Centre of Mathematics for Applications,
Department of Mathematics,
University of Oslo

**Splines and PDE's: Recent advances from Approximation
Theory to structured Numerical Linear Algebra**

CIME-EMS Summer School in Applied Mathematics
3 July - 7 July, 2017

Contents

- ▶ Piecewise continuous functions
- ▶ Taylor approximation
- ▶ Global and local Quasi-interpolants
- ▶ Approximation estimates

The space $C^{-1}(I)$

- ▶ Let I be a finite interval (open, half open, closed) and $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If
 - ▶ f is bounded
 - ▶ f is continuous except at a finite number of points, where the value is obtained by taking the limit either from the left or the right,

then f is said to be **piecewise continuous** on I . We denote the space of these functions by $C^{-1}(I)$.

- ▶ For any function $f \in C^{-1}([a, b])$ we write $f : [a^+, b^-] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to mean that $f(a) := f(a+)$ and $f(b) := f(b-)$. With the notation $f \in C^r([a^+, b^-])$ we mean that f has continuous derivatives up to order r on (a, b) , and their limits $\lim_{\substack{x \rightarrow a \\ x > a}} D^j f(x)$, $\lim_{\substack{x \rightarrow b \\ x < b}} D^j f(x)$, $j = 0, \dots, r$ exist and are bounded.

The L_q spaces

For $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and $I := [a, b]$ the L_q -**spaces** are defined by

$$L_q(I) := \left\{ f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \text{ } f \text{ is measurable on } I \text{ and } \|f\|_{L_q(I)} < \infty \right\}, \quad (1)$$

where the L_q -**norms** are given by

$$\|f\|_{L_\infty(I)} := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in I} |f(x)| \quad (2)$$

$$\|f\|_{L_q(I)} := \left(\int_a^b |f(x)|^q \, dx \right)^{1/q}, \quad 1 \leq q < \infty. \quad (3)$$

Note that

- ▶ If $f \in C^{-1}(I)$ then $\|f\|_{L_\infty(I)} = \sup_{x \in I} |f(x)|$
- ▶ if I is closed and $f \in C(I)$ then $\|f\|_{L_\infty(I)} = \max_{x \in I} |f(x)|$.

Hölder inequalities

The **Hölder inequalities** for integrals and sums are given by

$$\int_a^b |f(x)g(x)| \, dx \leq \|f\|_{L_q(I)} \|g\|_{L_{q'}(I)},$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j y_j| \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_q \|\mathbf{y}\|_{q'},$$

where q, q' are integers so that

$$\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1, \quad 1 \leq q \leq \infty.$$

In particular, $q' = \infty$ if $q = 1$ and $q' = 2$ if $q = 2$.

1D Sobolev spaces

- ▶ For I a finite interval, $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ the **one-dimensional Sobolev spaces** are given by

$$W_q^r(I) = \{f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : f \in C^{r-1}(I), D^r f \in L_q(I)\}. \quad (4)$$

- ▶ The Sobolev spaces are complete normed spaces with norm

$$\|f\|_{W_q^r(I)}^2 := \sum_{j=0}^r \|D^j f\|_{L_q(I)}^2, \quad (5)$$

called the **Sobolev norm**. It is an inner product norm for $q = 2$.

Taylor approximation

The **Taylor polynomial** of degree p at the point a to a function $f \in W_{\infty}^{p+1}([a, b])$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{T}_{a,p}f(x) := \sum_{j=0}^p \frac{(x-a)^j}{j!} D^j f(a), \quad (6)$$

and its approximation error can be expressed in integral form as

$$f(x) - \mathcal{T}_{a,p}f(x) = \frac{1}{p!} \int_a^b (x-y)_+^p D^{p+1}f(y) \, dy, \quad x \in [a, b], \quad (7)$$

where $(x-y)_+^p := \max((x-y)^p, 0)$.

Taylor approximation error

By differentiating the integral form of the Taylor approximation error we find for $0 \leq r \leq p$

$$|D^r(f - \mathcal{T}_{a,p}f)(x)| = \frac{1}{(p-r)!} \int_a^b (x-y)_+^{p-r} D^{p+1}f(y) dy.$$

Using the Hölder inequality and taking L_q norms we obtain

Theorem

Let $f \in W_\infty^{p+1}([a, b])$ with $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, Then, for any $x \in [a, b]$ and $0 \leq r \leq p$,

$$\|D^r(f - \mathcal{T}_{a,p}f)\|_{L_q([a,b])} \leq \frac{(b-a)^{p+1-r}}{(p-r)!} \|D^{p+1}f\|_{L_q([a,b])}. \quad (8)$$

What is a quasi-interpolant?

Quasi-interpolants

In general, a spline approximating a function f can be written in terms of B-splines as

$$\mathcal{Q}f(x) := \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j f B_{j,p,\xi}(x), \quad x \in [a, b] := [a, b], \quad (9)$$

for suitable coefficients $\lambda_j f$. The spline will be referred to as a **quasi-interpolant** to f whenever it provides a **reasonable** approximation to f .

Remarks

- ▶ Both interpolation and least squares are examples of quasi-interpolation methods. They are global methods since we have to solve an n by n system of linear equations to find the coefficients $\lambda_j f$. It follows that the value of the spline (9) at a point depends on all the data.
- ▶ Here we focus on **local and linear methods**, i.e., methods where each λ_j is a linear functional only depending on the values of f “near” the support of $B_{j,p,\xi}$. This implies that the value of the spline approximation Qf at a point depends only on the data in a local neighborhood of the point.

Notation and preliminaries

We assume throughout that ξ is a $(p + 1)$ -open knot sequence.

- ▶ $I_j := [\xi_j, \xi_{j+p+1}]$, the support of $B_{j,p,\xi}$
- ▶ If $x \in [\xi_m, \xi_{m+1})$ then by the local support property

$$Qf(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j f B_{j,p,\xi}(x) = \sum_{j=m-p}^m \lambda_j f B_{j,p,\xi}(x), \quad (10)$$

therefore, by nonnegativity and partition of unity

$$|Qf(x)| \leq \max_{m-p \leq j \leq m} |\lambda_j f|. \quad (11)$$

▶

$$J_m := \bigcup_{j=m-p}^m I_j = [\xi_{m-p}, \xi_{m+p+1}], \quad p+1 \leq m \leq n$$

Length of knot intervals

- ▶ $h_{j,p,\xi} := \max_{j \leq k \leq j+p} \xi_{k+1} - \xi_k$ is the largest length of a knot interval in $I_j = [\xi_j, \xi_{j+p+1}]$
- ▶ $h_{m,\xi}$ is the largest length of a knot interval in $J_m = [\xi_{m-p}, \xi_{m+p+1}]$
- ▶ $h_\xi := \max_{p+1 \leq j \leq n} \xi_{j+1} - \xi_j$ the largest length of a knot interval in $[a, b]$

A class of bounded local linear quasi-interpolants on $[a, b] := [\xi_{p+1}, \xi_{n+1}]$

1. **locality:** each $\lambda_j : C^{-1}([a, b]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a linear functional with support on I_j , i.e., $\lambda_j f = 0$ for any $f \in C^{-1}([a, b])$ which vanishes on I_j .
2. **Reproduces \mathbb{P}_ℓ for some ℓ with $0 \leq \ell \leq p$:**

$$\mathcal{Q}g(x) = g(x) \text{ for all } x \in [a, b] \text{ and all } g \text{ in } \mathbb{P}_\ell,$$

3. **Bounded linear functionals:** There is a constant C_Q such that for $j = 1, \dots, n$ and all $f \in C^{-1}(I_j)$ we have

$$|\lambda_j f| \leq C_Q h_{j,p,\xi}^{-1/q} \|f\|_{L_q(I_j)} \quad (12)$$

for some q , $1 \leq q \leq \infty$.

Local and global approximation

Theorem

Let

- ▶ \mathcal{Q} be a bounded local linear quasi-interpolant,
- ▶ $f \in W_q^{\ell+1}(J_m)$ some m with $\xi_m < \xi_{m+1}$.

Then,

$$\|f - \mathcal{Q}f\|_{L_q([\xi_m, \xi_{m+1}])} \leq \frac{(2p+1)^{\ell+1}}{\ell!} (1 + C_{\mathcal{Q}}) h_{m,\xi}^{\ell+1} \|D^{\ell+1}f\|_{L_q(J_m)}. \quad (13)$$

Moreover, if $f \in W_q^{\ell+1}([a, b])$ then

$$\|f - \mathcal{Q}f\|_{L_q([a,b])} \leq \frac{(2p+1)^{\ell+1+1/q}}{\ell!} (1 + C_{\mathcal{Q}}) h_{\xi}^{\ell+1} \|D^{\ell+1}f\|_{L_q([a,b])}, \quad (14)$$

Proof Local approximation

Suppose $x \in [\xi_m, \xi_{m+1})$. Then by (11) and (12)

$$|\mathcal{Q}f(x)| \leq C_{\mathcal{Q}} \max_{m-p \leq j \leq m} h_{j,p,\xi}^{-1/q} \|f\|_{L_q(I_j)} \leq C_{\mathcal{Q}} (\xi_{m+1} - \xi_m)^{-1/q} \|f\|_{L_q(J_m)}.$$

Taking L_q -norm we find

$$\|\mathcal{Q}f\|_{L_q([\xi_m, \xi_{m+1}])} \leq C_{\mathcal{Q}} \|f\|_{L_q(J_m)}. \quad (15)$$

Since \mathcal{Q} reproduces any polynomial $g \in \mathbb{P}_{\ell}$ and \mathcal{Q} is linear we have

$$f - \mathcal{Q}f = f - g + \mathcal{Q}g - \mathcal{Q}f = (f - g) + \mathcal{Q}(f - g).$$

Taking norms, using the triangle inequality and (15)

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - \mathcal{Q}f\|_{L_q([\xi_m, \xi_{m+1}])} &\leq \|f - g\|_{L_q([\xi_m, \xi_{m+1}])} + \|\mathcal{Q}(f - g)\|_{L_q([\xi_m, \xi_{m+1}])} \\ &\leq (1 + C_{\mathcal{Q}}) \|f - g\|_{L_q(J_m)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us now choose $g := \mathcal{T}_{\xi_{m-p}, \ell} f$, where $\mathcal{T}_{\xi_{m-p}, \ell} f$ is the Taylor polynomial of degree ℓ using $a = \xi_{m-p}$. By the error term for Taylor approximation

$$\|f - \mathcal{Q}f\|_{L_q([\xi_m, \xi_{m+1}])} \leq (1 + C_{\mathcal{Q}}) \frac{(\xi_{m+p+1} - \xi_{m-p})^{l+1}}{l!} \|D^{l+1}f\|_{L_q(J_m)}.$$

Since $\xi_{m+p+1} - \xi_{m-p} \leq (2p+1)h_{m,\xi}$ we obtain the local bound.

Summing the q th power of local estimates we obtain the global one. \square

Example; the Schoenberg operator

$$\mathcal{V}_{p,\xi}f(x) := \sum_{j=1}^n f\left(\frac{\xi_{j+1} + \cdots + \xi_{j+p}}{p}\right) B_{j,p,\xi}(x), \quad (16)$$

This is a local linear quasi-interpolant with $\ell = 1$. It is bounded in the L_∞ -norm with $C_Q = 1$. The local and global approximation Theorem implies for any $f \in W_\infty^2([a, b])$,

$$\|f - \mathcal{V}_{p,\xi}f\|_{L_\infty([a,b])} \leq 2(2p+1)^2 h_\xi^2 \|D^2f\|_{L_\infty([a,b])}. \quad (17)$$

The Schoenberg operator preserves positivity, monotonicity and convexity.

Spline reproduction

The next proposition gives a sufficient condition for a quasi-interpolant to reproduce the whole spline space.

Proposition

Suppose

- ▶ $Qf := \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j f B_{j,p,\xi}$ is a linear quasi-interpolant
- ▶ Q reproduces $\mathbb{P}_{p,\xi}$
- ▶ each linear functional λ_j is supported on one knot interval

Then

$$Qs(x) = s(x), \quad s \in \mathbb{S}_{p,\xi}, \quad x \in [a, b), \quad (18)$$

In other words Q is a projector onto the spline space $\mathbb{S}_{p,\xi}$.

Proof Spline reproduction

Since $\mathcal{Q}B_{i,p,\xi} = \sum_{j=1}^n (\lambda_j B_{i,p,\xi}) B_{j,p,\xi}$ it suffices to show that $\lambda_j(B_{i,p,\xi}) = \delta_{i,j}$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$.

Let j with $1 \leq j \leq n$ be fixed and consider an interval $[\xi_m, \xi_{m+1})$

- ▶ If $i \notin \{m-p, \dots, m\}$ the local support property implies $\lambda_j(B_{i,p,\xi}) = 0$.
- ▶ Suppose $i \in \{m-p, \dots, m\}$.
Since $B_{i,p,\xi} \in \mathbb{P}_p$ on one knot interval, we have

$$B_{i,p,\xi}(x) = \mathcal{Q}(B_{i,p,\xi})(x) = \sum_{k=m-p}^m \lambda_k(B_{i,p,\xi}) B_{k,p,\xi}(x), \quad x \in [\xi_m, \xi_{m+1}).$$

This implies $\lambda_k(B_{i,p,\xi}) = \delta_{k,i}$ $k = m-p, \dots, m$.
In particular, it holds for $k = i$.



Example; A bounded quadratic spline projector

Let $p = 2$ and let ξ be a 3-open knot sequence. We consider the operator $\mathcal{Q}_{2,\xi}f(x) := \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j f B_{j,2,\xi}(x)$, where

$$\lambda_j f := -\frac{1}{2}f(\xi_{j+1}) + 2f\left(\frac{\xi_{j+2} + \xi_{j+1}}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}f(\xi_{j+2}).$$

Clearly $|\lambda_j f| \leq 3\|f\|_{L_\infty([\xi_{j+1}, \xi_{j+2}])}$ for any $f \in C^{-1}([a, b])$, and since

$$\mathcal{Q}_{2,\xi}1 = \sum_{j=1}^n B_{j,2,\xi}(x) = 1,$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_{2,\xi}x = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\xi_{j+1} + \xi_{j+2}}{2} B_{j,2,\xi}(x) = x,$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_{2,\xi}x^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_{j+1}\xi_{j+2} B_{j,2,\xi}(x) = x^2,$$

it follows that $\mathcal{Q}_{2,\xi}$ reproduces \mathbb{P}_2 . It is a projector onto the spline space $\mathbb{S}_{2,\xi}$ since λ_j has support on one knot interval. For any $f \in W_\infty^3([a, b])$, the L_∞ error is $O(h_\xi^3)$.

Quadratic splines are well conditioned

$$s = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j B_{j,2,\xi} \in \mathbb{S}_{p,\xi} \implies c_j = Q_{2,\xi} s.$$

This shows that each c_j can be at most 3 times as large as $\|s\|_{L_\infty([a,b])}$ independently of ξ .

Degree of reproduction

The following proposition can be used to find the degree ℓ of polynomials reproduced by a linear quasi-interpolant.

Proposition

Let

$$\{\varphi_{j,0}, \dots, \varphi_{j,\ell}\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq p \quad (19)$$

be n sets of basis functions for polynomials in \mathbb{P}_ℓ and let

$$\varphi_{j,r} = \sum_{m=1}^n c_{j,r,m} B_{m,p,\xi} \quad (20)$$

be their B -spline representations. The linear quasi-interpolant (9) reproduces \mathbb{P}_ℓ provided the corresponding linear functionals satisfy

$$\lambda_j(\varphi_{j,r}) = c_{j,r,j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad r = 0, \dots, \ell. \quad (21)$$

Proof Degree of reproduction

Any $g \in \mathbb{P}_\ell$ can be written both in terms of the B-splines and the φ 's, say

$$g = \sum_{m=1}^n b_m B_{m,p,\xi} = \sum_{r=0}^{\ell} b_{j,r} \varphi_{j,r}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \quad (22)$$

By (20) and (22) for $j = 1, \dots, n$,

$$g = \sum_{r=0}^{\ell} b_{j,r} \left(\sum_{m=1}^n c_{j,r,m} B_{m,p,\xi} \right) = \sum_{m=1}^n \left(\sum_{r=0}^{\ell} b_{j,r} c_{j,r,m} \right) B_{m,p,\xi} = \sum_{m=1}^n b_m B_{m,p,\xi}.$$

By linear independence of the B-splines and choosing $i = m$ we obtain

$$b_m = \sum_{r=0}^{\ell} b_{m,r} c_{m,r,m}. \quad (23)$$

Similarly, for $\mathcal{Q}g$ using (22) with $j = m$,

$$\mathcal{Q}g := \sum_{m=1}^n \lambda_m(g) B_{m,p,\xi} = \sum_{m=1}^n \lambda_m \left(\sum_{r=0}^{\ell} b_{m,r} \varphi_{m,r} \right) B_{m,p,\xi}.$$

From the linearity of λ_m and (21), (23) and finally (22) again we obtain

$$\mathcal{Q}g = \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{r=0}^{\ell} b_{m,r} \lambda_m(\varphi_{m,r}) B_{m,p,\xi} = \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{r=0}^{\ell} b_{m,r} c_{m,r,m} B_{m,p,\xi} = \sum_{m=1}^n b_m B_{m,p,\xi} = g.$$

